For the past 25 years, bags of leaves, bumile of shrub trimmings, and bags of garbage that I set in front of my yard at the sure at 5 a.m. were placed up at about 7 a.m. every rednesday and Saturany.

ductionly, a few months ago, things obangon. Find-up would be made any time during the day, frequently not at all. I could find no explanation for this change.

Then, last week, I see the news that a HighDO,000 contract has been awarded to perform 1/3 of the accounter work of the City, that 100 cen will be laid off, and that maxings of \$400,000 are apported from thems actions. It was stated that 16,000,000 has been <u>budgeted</u> for the total job of garbage collection in 1970, but no figure was given for actual expenditures, so the savings cotton to be neither accurate or realistics

If the 31,000,00 centract will mave 5400,000 as claimed, by permitting distance of 100 men, then the work same by these 100 men aunt have seet \$2,200,000. Allesance of 3000,000 for equipment, supervision and overhead, leaves \$2,000,000 for wages, an average of 100,000 per man. Do these men get that wager

Looking at the matter in amother maps if you contract 1/1 of the sort of a section of 10,000,000 jet, (1.e. 1200,000) for skinsto, then the settlested making to only 12.0,000, not 1400,000.

It is hard to understand why this contract was drawn without any previsions for or stipulations related to the 100 has to be alcoharged. The heat argest need in Dewark is to provide work for the semi-exilies and anskilled, Certainly the eark of scanvenging, or garbage collection, is one area where the semi-exilled and unskilled can be employed for the greatest public benefit.

This move leaves those non at the tensor acroise of the Contractor. I do not credit the claim of \$400,000 in savings. I do not selleve that any contraction by contracting can be greater than that which can be getten by competent administration and effective, sware supervision. I do not believe we have either of the latter, at present.

This contract should be very closely admittered and evaluated. If thout acticulous evaluation, it chould not be appealed as a guide for future action to this area.

At this point, this numeract appears to be questionably justified, ill-advised, short-sighted, against the public interest, and, is view of the background of an official of the Company, semeshat suspect.

D. J. Employment to the City Council 3/7/79